HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.21795 of 2005

06-10-2005

Between:

Palivela Santoshi Savan.

…Petitioner.

And

Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Dept.of Youth Advancement, Tourism and

Culture(Sports),

Rep.by its Secretary,

Secretariat Buildings,

Hyderabad and another. …Respondents.

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.21795 of 2005

O R D E R :

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner seeking Writ of Mandamus declaring G.O.Ms.No.27, Youth Advancement, Tourism and Culture (Sports) Department, dated 4-6-2005, as amended by the first respondent is illegal, arbitrary and without jurisdiction, as well as contrary to the judgments of this Court for admission into Engineering Graduate Course under Sports quots.

It is stated that the petitioner appeared for the Common Entrance Test for Engineering, Agricutural and Medical Graduate Courses i.e., EAMCET-2005 and secured 23,669 rank seeking admission in the Engineering College. It is also stated that the petitioner participated and secured third place in XV South Zone Aquatic Championship – 2001 conducted by Visakha District Swimming Association, Visakhapatnam. By virtue of his participation and also the place, which he has secured in the sports, he is eligible to come under the priority sports category for admission into Engineering Graduate Courses. However, the respondents are denying the claim of the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner has come up with the present writ petition.

At the time of hearing, it is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner that a batch of writ petitions were disposed of by this Court in W.P.Nos.19660 and 19860 of 2005, by order dated 29-9-2005, where this Court directed amendment of the said G.O., therefore, sought for a similar direction.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Higher Education and the writ petition is being disposed of at the admission stage.

In view of the fact that the issue has already been considered by this Court in the earlier two writ petitions, which were disposed of as referred to above, this writ

petition is also disposed of in the light of the observations and the directions made in the said writ petitions and the petitioner is also entitled to the same benefit to which the petitioners in those writ petitions were extended as per the directions of this Court.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

_____

(S. ANANDA REDDY, J)

6-10-2005

Msr.

NOTE : Issue C.C. by 7-10-2005.

(B/O)

Msr.

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.21795 of 2005

06-10-2005